arXiv:1207.5867v1 [math.AG] 25 Jul 2012

Bogomolov Multipliers and Retract Rationality for Semi-direct Products

Ming-chang Kang

Department of Mathematics and
Taida Institute of Mathematical Sciences,
National Taiwan University
Taipei, Taiwan
E-mail: kang@math.ntu.edu.tw

Abstract. Let G be a finite group. The Bogomolov multiplier $B_0(G)$ is constructed as an obstruction to the rationality of $\mathbb{C}(V)^G$ where $G \to GL(V)$ is a faithful representation over $\mathbb{C}$. We prove that, for any finite groups G_1 and G_2, $B_0(G_1 \times G_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} B_0(G_1) \times B_0(G_2)$ under the restriction map. If $G = N \rtimes G_0$ with $\gcd\{|N|, |G_0|\} = 1$, then $B_0(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} B_0(N)^{G_0} \times B_0(G_0)$ under the restriction map. For any integer n, we show that there are non-direct-product p-groups G_1 and G_2 such that $B_0(G_1)$ and $B_0(G_2)$ contain subgroups isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ and $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ respectively. On the other hand, if k is an infinite field and $G = N \rtimes G_0$ where N is an abelian normal subgroup of exponent e satisfying that $\zeta_e \in k$, we will prove that, if $k(G_0)$ is retract k-rational, then $k(G)$ is also retract k-rational provided that certain "local" conditions are satisfied; this result generalizes two previous results of Saltman and Jambor [Ja].

§1. Introduction

Let k be a field, and L be a finitely generated field extension of k. L is called k-rational (or rational over k) if L is purely transcendental over k, i.e. L is isomorphic

---

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary 14E08, 14L30, 13A50, Secondary 12F12, 12F20, 20J06.

Keywords: Noether's problem, the rationality problem, Bogomolov multiplier, unramified Brauer groups, retract rationality, cohomology of finite groups.

Partially supported by National Center for Theoretic Science (Taipei office).

to some rational function field over k. L is called stably k-rational if $L(y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ is k-rational for some $y_1, \ldots, y_m$ which are algebraically independent over L. L is called k-unirational if L is k-isomorphic to a subfield of some k-rational extension of k. It is easy to see that "k-rational" $\Rightarrow$ "stably k-rational" $\Rightarrow$ "k-unirational".

The Lüroth problem asks whether a k-unirational field is necessarily k-rational. See the paper of Manin and Tsfasman [MT] for a survey. A special case of the Lüroth problem is the following Noether's problem. Let k be any field, G be any finite group. Consider the regular representation $G \to GL(V_{\text{reg}})$ over k. Thus G acts on the rational function field $k(V_{\text{reg}}) = k(x_g : g \in G)$ by k-automorphism defined by $h \cdot x_g = x_{hg}$ for any $g, h \in G$. Denote by $k(G)$ the fixed field, i.e. $k(G) = k(x_g : g \in G)^G$. Noether's problem asks, under what situation, the field $k(G)$ is k-rational. See Swan's paper for a survey of Noether's problem [Sw3].

The Bogomolov multiplier, denoted by $B_0(G)$ which will be defined in Definition 1.3, is an obstruction to the rationality (resp. the stable rationality) of $k(G)$ when k is an algebraically closed field satisfying that $\gcd\{|G|, \operatorname{char} k\} = 1$. Before we define $B_0(G)$, let's recall the notion of retract rational which evolves from the notion of a unirational variety V such that the dominating map $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow V$ has a rational section.

Definition 1.1 ([Sa3, Definition 3.1]) Let k be an infinite field and L be a field containing k. L is called retract k-rational, if there are some affine domain A over k and k-algebra morphisms $\varphi : A \to k[X_1, \ldots, X_n][1/f]$, $\psi : k[X_1, \ldots, X_n][1/f] \to A$ where $k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ is a polynomial ring over k, $f \in k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]\backslash\{0\}$, satisfying that

(i) L is the quotient field of A, and

(ii) $\psi \circ \varphi = 1_A$, the identity map on A.

It is not difficult to see that "stably k-rational" $\Rightarrow$ "retract k-rational" $\Rightarrow$ "k-unirational". Moreover, if k is an infinite field, it is known that the following three conditions are equivalent: $k(G)$ is retract k-rational, there is a generic G-Galois extension over k, there exists a generic G-polynomial over k [Sa1; Sa3; De].

If $k \subset K$ is an extension of fields, the notion of the unramified Brauer group of K over k, denoted by $\operatorname{Br}_{v,k}(K)$, was introduced by Saltman [Sa2]. If k is algebraically closed and K is retract k-rational, then $\operatorname{Br}_{v,k}(K) = 0$ (see [Sa2; Sa4]). Using this criterion, Saltman was able to construct, for any prime number p and any algebraically closed field k with $\operatorname{char} k \neq p$, there is p-group G of order p^9 such that $\operatorname{Br}_{v,k}(k(G)) \neq 0$. In particular, $k(G)$ is not retract k-rational and therefore is not k-rational [Sa2]; also see the remark after Theorem 5.2 of this paper.

The computation of $\operatorname{Br}_{v,k}(k(G))$ becomes more effective because of the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Bogomolov, Saltman [Bo; Sa5, Theorem 12]) *Let G be a finite group, k be an algebraically closed field with* $\gcd\{|G|, \operatorname{char} k\} = 1$. *Let μ denote the multiplicative subgroup of all roots of unity in k. Then* $\operatorname{Br}_{v,k}(k(G))$ *is isomorphic to the group* $B_0(G)$ *defined by*

$$B_0(G) = \bigcap_A \operatorname{Ker}\{\operatorname{res}_G^A : H^2(G, \mu) \to H^2(A, \mu)\}$$

where A runs over all the bicyclic subgroups of G (a group A is called bicyclic if A is a cyclic group or a direct product of two cyclic groups).

Because of the above theorem, we define $B_0(G)$ as follows.

Definition 1.3 Let G be a finite group, $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module with trivial G-actions. Define $B_0(G)$ by

$$B_0(G) = \bigcap_A \mathrm{Ker}\{\mathrm{res}_G^A : H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\}$$

where A runs over all the bicyclic subgroups of G and res_G^A denotes the restriction map from G to A.

The cohomology group $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is called the Schur multiplier of G in some literature [Kar]. Thus the group $B_0(G)$, as a subgroup of $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, was called the Bogomolov multiplier of G by Kunyavskii [Ku]; we follow his terminology in this paper.

When N is a subgroup of G, the restriction map $\mathrm{res} : H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ induces a well-defined map $\mathrm{res} : B_0(G) \to B_0(N)$. When $N \lhd G$, we also have a well-defined map $\mathrm{res} : B_0(G) \to B_0(N)^G$ (see Lemma 2.3). We will prove the following two theorems.

Theorem 1.4 *Let G_1 and G_2 be any finite groups. Then the restriction map* $\mathrm{res} : B_0(G_1 \times G_2) \to B_0(G_1) \times B_0(G_2)$ *is an isomorphism.*

Theorem 1.5 *Let $G = G_1 \rtimes G_2$ be a finite group with $G_1 \lhd G$. If* $\gcd\{|G_1|, |G_2|\} = 1$, *then the restriction map* $\mathrm{res} : B_0(G) \to B_0(G_1)^{G_2} \times B_0(G_2)$ *is an isomorphism.*

As an application of Theorem 1.5, we will show that, if $G = N \rtimes G_0$ is a Frobenius group, then $\mathrm{res} : B_0(G) \to B_0(N)^{G_0}$ is an isomorphism (see Theorem 2.8).

One may suspect that $B_0(G)$ would be "small" if it is non-trivial (see the remark at the end of Section 5 of this paper). However, we will show that, if n is any positive integer, then there are non-direct-product p-groups G_1 and G_2 such that $B_0(G_1)$ and $B_0(G_2)$ contain subgroups isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ and $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ respectively (see Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.4). When $n = 1$, the group constructed in Theorem 5.2 is the same one constructed by Saltman and Shafarevich [Sa2, page 83; Sh, page 245]. For this case, Saltman and Shafarevich show that the unramified Brauer groups are non-trivial; their proof are different from our direct proof by showing the non-triviality of $B_0(G)$.

The condition $\gcd\{|G_1|, |G_2|\} = 1$ of Theorem 1.5 is reminiscent of the following theorem of Saltman.

Theorem 1.6 (Saltman [Sa1, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.5; Ka1, Theorem 3.5]) *Let k be an infinite field, $G = N \rtimes G_0$ with $N \lhd G$.*

(1) *If $k(G)$ is retract k-rational, so is $k(G_0)$.*

(2) *Assume furthermore that N is abelian and* $\gcd\{|N|, |G_0|\} = 1$. *If both $k(N)$ and $k(G_0)$ are retract k-rational, so is $k(G)$.*

For the convenience of presenting Jambor's Theorem, we give the following definition.

Definition 1.7 Let $G = N \rtimes G_0$ be a finite group where N is an abelian normal subgroup of G. Write $N = \prod_{p||N|} N_p$ where each N_p is the p-Sylow subgroup of N. Define $H_p = \{g \in G_0 : g\tau g^{-1} = \tau \text{ for any } \tau \in N_p\}$.

With the above definition, we may state Jambor's Theorem, which is in some sense a local refinement of Saltman's Theorem (see Theorem 1.6).

Theorem 1.8 (Jambor [Ja]) *Let $G = N \rtimes G_0$ be a finite group where N is an abelian normal subgroup of G. Assume that, for each prime number $p \mid |N|$, p doesn't divide $[G_0 : H_p]$. If k is an infinite field such that both $k(N)$ and $k(G_0)$ are retract k-rational, then $k(G)$ is also retract k-rational.*

What we will prove is that the condition that $p \nmid [G_0 : H_p]$ can be weakened if we assume that k has enough roots of unity. Namely,

Theorem 1.9 *Let $G = N \rtimes G_0$ be a finite group where N is an abelian normal subgroup of exponent e. Assume that, for each prime number $p \mid |N|$, either $p \nmid [G_0 : H_p]$ or all the Sylow subgroups of G_0/H_p are cyclic groups. If k is an infinite field such that $\zeta_e \in k$ and $k(G_0)$ is retract k-rational, then $k(G)$ is also retract k-rational.*

We remark that our proof of the above theorem, even for the case of Theorem 1.8, is different from Jambor's proof.

The paper is organized as follows. We prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 in Section 2. Note that Theorem 1.5 is valid in a more general context. See Theorem 2.6 (also see Theorem 2.7). Section 3 contains some preliminaries for proving Theorem 1.9. We recall the theory of flabby lattices in this section. Theorem 1.9 is proved in Section 4. Section 5 contains two results which show that $B_0(G)$ can be as big as possible.

Acknowledgements. The subjects studied in this paper arose from discussions with Yuri G. Prokhorov during the conferences "Birational geometry and affine geometry" (April 23–27, 2012, Moscow) and "Essential dimensions and Cremona groups" (June 11–15, 2012, Nankai University). I thank Prokhorov and the organizers of these two meetings, especially Ivan Cheltsov.

Standing notations. In discussing retract rationality, we always assume that the ground field is infinite (see Definition 1.1). For emphasis, recall $k(G) = k(x_g : g \in G)^G$ defined in the second paragraph of this section.

We denote by ζ_n a primitive n-th root of unity in some extension field of the ground field k. When we write $\zeta_n \in k$ or $\operatorname{char} k \nmid n$, it is understood that either $\operatorname{char} k = 0$ or $\operatorname{char} k = p > 0$ with $p \nmid n$.

All the groups in this paper are finite groups. Both C_n and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ denote the same cyclic group of order n; we use $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ when it appears as some cohomology group. The exponent of a group G, $\exp(G)$, is defined as $\exp(G) = \operatorname{lcm}\{\operatorname{ord}(g) : g \in G\}$. If

G is a group, $Z(G)$ and $[G,G]$ denote the center and the commutator subgroup of G respectively. If $g, h \in G$, then $[g,h] := ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$, and G^{ab} denotes the quotient group $G/[G,G]$. We denote by $\mathbb{F}_p$ the finite field with p elements.

A semidirect product group G is denoted by $G = N \rtimes G_0$ where N is a normal subgroup of G. If $\sigma \in N \subset G$ and $g \in G_0 \subset G$, we will write ${}^g\sigma$ for $g\sigma g^{-1}$.

§2. Some properties of $B_0(G)$

In this paper, if N is a subgroup of G and M is a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module, we will write $\mathrm{res} : H^q(G,M) \to H^q(N,M)$ and $\mathrm{cor} : H^q(N,M) \to H^q(G,M)$ for the restriction map and the corestriction map of cohomology groups [Br, Chapter 3]. If α is a q-cocycle of G with coefficients in M, we denote by $[\alpha]$ the cohomology class of α, i.e. $[\alpha] \in H^q(G,M)$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let G be a finite group, M be a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module. If $\{N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_t\}$ is a collection of subgroups of G satisfying that* $\gcd\{[G : N_i] : 1 \le i \le t\} = 1$, *then* $\mathrm{res} : H^q(G,M) \to \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le t} H^q(N_i, M)$ *is injective.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of the collection $\{P_j : 1 \le j \le s\}$ where P_j is a p_j-Sylow subgroup of G with $|G| = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_s^{e_s}$. We sketch the proof. Let α be a q-cocycle such that $[\alpha] \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{res})$. It follows that $\mathrm{res}_G^{N_i}(\alpha)$ is a coboundary for $1 \le i \le t$. Consider $\mathrm{cor} : H^q(N_i, M) \to H^q(G,M)$. We find that $\mathrm{cor}_{N_i}^G \circ \mathrm{res}_G^{N_i}(\alpha)$ is a coboundary. But $\mathrm{cor}_{N_i}^G \circ \mathrm{res}_G^{N_i}$ is the multiplication by $[G : N_i]$. Apply the assumption that $\gcd\{[G : N_i] : 1 \le i \le t\} = 1$. Done. ■

Definition 2.2 Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module with trivial G-actions. For any $q \ge 1$, define

$$B_M^q(G) = \bigcap_A \mathrm{Ker}\{\mathrm{res}_G^A : H^q(G,M) \to H^q(A,M)\}$$

where A runs over all the bicyclic subgroups of G. When $M = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, $B_M^2(G)$ is nothing but $B_0(G)$.

Lemma 2.3 *Let G be a finite group, M be a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module with trivial G-actions.*

(1) *Let N be a subgroup of G. For any $q \ge 1$, the map* $\mathrm{res} : H^q(G,M) \to H^q(N,M)$ *induces a natural map* $\mathrm{res} : B_M^q(G) \to B_M^q(N)$.

(2) *Let N be a normal subgroup of G. The action of G on $H^q(N,M)$ via the conjugation map of G on N induces a well-defined action on $B_M^q(N)$. Moreover, the image of the map* $\mathrm{res} : B_M^q(G) \to B_M^q(N)$ *defined in (1) is contained in $B_M^q(N)^G$. In particular, the map* $\mathrm{res} : B_M^q(G) \to B_M^q(N)^G$ *is well-defined.*

Proof. (1) is obvious. We will prove (2).

Let α be a q-cocycle representing $[\alpha] \in B_M^q(N)$.

If $g \in G$, the q-cocycle ${}^g\alpha$ is defined as: ${}^g\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_q) = \alpha(g^{-1}\tau_1 g, g^{-1}\tau_2 g, g^{-1}\tau_q g)$ for any $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_q \in N$, because G acts trivially on M. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{res}^A_N({}^g\alpha)$ is a coboundary for any bicyclic subgroup A of N (since $[\alpha] \in B^q_M(N)$). Thus $B_0(N)$ is invariant under the action of G.

We will show that Image$\{\mathrm{res} : B^q_M(G) \to B^q_M(N)\} \subset B^q_M(N)^G$.

Let α be a q-cocycle with $[\alpha] \in B^q_M(G)$. Then $[\mathrm{res}^N_G(\alpha)] \in B^q_M(N)$. We will show that ${}^g(\mathrm{res}^N_G(\alpha))$ is cohomologous to $\mathrm{res}^N_G(\alpha)$ for any $g \in G$.

For any $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_q \in N$, ${}^g(\mathrm{res}^N_G(\alpha))(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_q) = \alpha(g^{-1}\tau_1 g, g^{-1}\tau_2 g, \ldots, g^{-1}\tau_q g)$.

On the other hand, consider the action of G on $H^q(G, M)$ by the conjugation map. Since ${}^g\alpha$ is cohomologous to α [Se, page 116], it follows that there is a $(q-1)$-cochain β such that ${}^g\alpha = \alpha + \delta(\beta)$ where δ is the differential map. Thus $\alpha(g^{-1}\sigma_1 g, g^{-1}\sigma_2 g, \ldots, g^{-1}\sigma_q g) = \alpha(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_q) + \delta(\beta)(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_q)$ for any $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_q \in G$. Substitute σ_i by $\tau_i \in N$, we get $\alpha(g^{-1}\tau_1 g, \ldots, g^{-1}\tau_q g) = \alpha(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_q) + \delta(\beta)(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_q)$. Hence the result. ■

Before proving Theorem 1.4, we recall the definition of the exterior product $G \wedge G$ of a non-abelian group G.

Definition 2.4 ([Mi, page 588; BL, page 316]) Let G be a group. The exterior product $G \wedge G$ is a group defined by $G \wedge G = \langle g \wedge h : g, h \in G \rangle$ with relations $g \wedge g = 1$, $(g_1 g_2) \wedge h = ({}^{g_1}g_2 \wedge {}^{g_1}h)(g_1 \wedge h)$, $g \wedge (h_1 h_2) = (g \wedge h_1)({}^{h_1}g \wedge {}^{h_1}h_2)$ for any $g, h, g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2 \in G$ where ${}^g h := ghg^{-1}$ for any $g, h \in G$.

Theorem 2.5 (Miller [Mi, pages 592–593; BL, page 316]) *Let G be a group, $[G, G]$ its commutator subgroup.*

(1) *Define a group homomorphism $\gamma : G \wedge G \to [G, G]$ by $\gamma(g \wedge h) = ghg^{-1}h^{-1} \in [G, G]$ for any $g, h \in G$. Define $M(G) = \mathrm{Ker}(\gamma)$. Then $M(G) \simeq H_2(G, \mathbb{Z})$.*

(2) *If $G = G_1 \times G_2$, define $\Phi : M(G_1) \times M(G_2) \times (G_1 \otimes G_2) \to M(G)$ by $\Phi(g_1 \wedge g_2) = g_1 \wedge g_2 \in M(G)$ for any $g_1, g_2 \in G_1$, $\Phi(h_1 \wedge h_2) = h_1 \wedge h_2 \in M(G)$ for any $h_1, h_2 \in G_2$, $\Phi(g \otimes h) = g \wedge h \in M(G)$ for any $g \in G_1$, $h \in G_2$ where $G_1 \otimes G_2 := (G_1^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G_2^{ab})$, the usual tensor product of abelian groups. Then Φ is a group isomorphism.*

Proof of Theorem 1.4.

Step 1. For a group G, the association of G to the exact sequence $1 \to M(G) \to G \wedge G \to [G, G] \to 1$ is a functor, i.e. if $G \to H$ is a group homomorphism, then there are group homomorphisms f_1, f_2, f_3 such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & M(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_1"] & G \wedge G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_2"] & {[G, G]} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_3"] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & M(H) \arrow[r] & H \wedge H \arrow[r] & {[H, H]} \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

Step 2. Note that $\mathrm{Hom}(H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ by the universal coefficient theorem [Br, page 8]. Since $\mathrm{Hom}(-, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is an anti-equivalence on the category of finitely generated abelian groups, it follows that $B_0(G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(M(G)/M_0(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ where $M_0(G) = \langle x \wedge y \in G \wedge G : xy = yx \rangle$. See [Bo, page 471 and 475] also.

Step 3. By Step 2, it suffices to show that $M(G_1)/M_0(G_1) \times M(G_2)/M_0(G_2) \to M(G)/M_0(G)$ is a group isomorphism.

Apply Theorem 2.5. Since $\Phi(G_1 \otimes G_2) = G_1 \wedge G_2 \subset M_0(G)$, it follows that the induced morphism $M(G_1) \times M(G_2) \to M(G)/\langle G_1 \wedge G_2 \rangle$ is an isomorphism. This isomorphism sends $M_0(G_1)$ and $M_0(G_2)$ to $M_0(G)$. This induces an epimorphism $\Phi^* : M(G_1)/M_0(G_1) \times M(G_2)/M_0(G_2) \to M(G)/M_0(G)$.

Step 4. We claim that Φ^* is injective.

It suffices to show that $M_0(G)$ is generated by $G_1 \wedge G_2$ and the images of $M_0(G_1)$, $M_0(G_2)$.

For any $x, y \in G = G_1 \times G_2$ with $xy = yx$, write $x = g_1 g_2$, $y = h_1 h_2$ where $g_1, h_1 \in G_1$, $g_2, h_2 \in G_2$. Since all the g_i, h_j commute with each other. Apply the defining relations in Definition 2.4. It is easy to see that $x \wedge y = x \wedge (h_1 h_2) = (x \wedge h_1)({}^{h_1}x \wedge {}^{h_1}h_2) = ((g_1 g_2) \wedge h_1))({}^{h_1}(g_1 g_2) \wedge h_2) = \cdots = (g_2 \wedge h_1)(g_1 \wedge h_1)(g_2 \wedge h_2)(g_1 \wedge h_2)$. Note that $g_2 \wedge h_1, g_1 \wedge h_2 \in G_1 \wedge G_2$, $g_1 \wedge h_1 \in \mathrm{Image}\{M_0(G_1) \to M_0(G)\}$, $g_2 \wedge h_2 \in \mathrm{Image}\{M_0(G_2) \to M_0(G)\}$. Done. ■

For the proof of Theorem 1.5, note that Theorem 1.5 is a special case of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6 *Let $G = N \rtimes G_0$ be a finite group, M be a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module with trivial G-actions. If $\gcd\{|N|, |G_0|\} = 1$, then the restriction map $\mathrm{res} : B^q_M(G) \to B^q_M(N)^{G_0} \times B^q_M(G_0)$ is an isomorphism where $q \geq 1$ and $B^q_M(G)$ is the group defined in Definition 2.2.*

Proof. Step 1. By Lemma 2.3, the map $\mathrm{res} : B^q_M(G) \to B^q_M(N)^{G_0} \times B^q_M(G_0)$ is well-defined because $B^q_M(N)^G = B^q_M(N)^{G_0}$.

Write $|N| = n$, $|G_0| = m$. Then $n \cdot B^q_M(N) = m \cdot B^q_M(G_0) = 0$. We will show that the corestriction map $\mathrm{cor}^G_N : H^q(N, M) \to H^q(G, M)$ induces a well-defined map $\mathrm{cor} : B^q_M(N)^{G_0} \to B^q_M(G)$.

For any q-cocycle γ such that $[\gamma] \in B^q_M(N)^{G_0}$, we will show that $[\mathrm{cor}^G_N(\gamma)] \in B^q_M(G)$, i.e. $\mathrm{res}^A_G \circ \mathrm{cor}^G_N(\gamma)$ is a coboundary for any bicyclic subgroup A of G.

Write $A = \langle x, y \rangle \subset G$. Define $A_1 = \langle x^m, y^m \rangle$, $A_2 = \langle x^n, y^n \rangle$. Then $A = A_1 \times A_2$ and A_1 (resp. A_2) is an abelian group of exponent dividing n (resp. m). Since $\gcd\{n, m\} = 1$ and $N \lhd G$, it follows that $A_1 \subset N$.

By Lemma 2.1, the map $\mathrm{res} : H^q(A, M) \to H^q(A_1, M) \times H^q(A_2, M)$ is injective. To show that $\mathrm{res}^A_G \circ \mathrm{cor}^G_N(\gamma)$ is a coboundary, it suffices to show that both $\mathrm{res}^{A_1}_A \circ \mathrm{res}^A_G \circ \mathrm{cor}^G_N(\gamma)$ and $\mathrm{res}^{A_2}_A \circ \mathrm{res}^A_G \circ \mathrm{cor}^G_N(\gamma)$ are coboundaries.

Note that $\mathrm{res}^{A_2}_A \circ \mathrm{res}^A_G \circ \mathrm{cor}^G_N(\gamma) = \mathrm{res}^{A_2}_G \circ \mathrm{cor}^G_N(\gamma)$ and $[\mathrm{res}^{A_2}_G \circ \mathrm{cor}^G_N(\gamma)] \in H^q(A_2, M)$ is of order dividing $|A_2|$. On the other hand, $[\gamma] \in H^q(N, M)$ is of order dividing $|N|$. Since $\gcd\{|N|, |A_2|\} = 1$, we find $[\mathrm{res}^{A_2}_G \circ \mathrm{cor}^G_N(\gamma)] = 0$.

Now $\mathrm{res}^{A_1}_{A} \circ \mathrm{res}^{A}_{G} \circ \mathrm{cor}^{G}_{N}(\gamma) = \mathrm{res}^{A_1}_{N} \circ \mathrm{res}^{N}_{G} \circ \mathrm{cor}^{G}_{N}(\gamma)$ because $A_1 \subset N$. By [Br, page 81], $\mathrm{res}^{N}_{G} \circ \mathrm{cor}^{G}_{N}(\gamma) = \sum_{g \in G_0} {}^{g}\gamma$. Since $[\gamma] \in H^q(N, M)^{G_0}$, we find that $\mathrm{res}^{N}_{G} \circ \mathrm{cor}^{G}_{N}(\gamma) = m\gamma$. Thus $\mathrm{res}^{A_1}_{A} \circ \mathrm{res}^{A}_{G} \circ \mathrm{cor}^{G}_{N}(\gamma) = m \cdot \mathrm{res}^{A_1}_{N}(\gamma)$. Since $\gamma \in B^q_M(N)$, it follows that $\mathrm{res}^{A_1}_{N}(\gamma)$ is a coboundary. Done.

Step 2. Since $\gcd\{n, m\} = 1$, we may write $B^q_M(G) = B_1 \times B_2$ where $B_1 = \{[\gamma] \in B^q_M(G) : n[\gamma] = 0\}$, $B_2 = \{[\gamma] \in B^q_M(G) : m[\gamma] = 0\}$.

Consider the restriction map $\mathrm{res} : B^q_M(G) \to B^q_M(N)^{G_0} \times B^q_M(G_0)$. Note that $\mathrm{res}(B_1) \subset B^q_M(N)^{G_0}$, $\mathrm{res}(B_2) \subset B^q_M(G_0)$. Hence it remains to show that both $\mathrm{res} : B_1 \to B^q_M(N)^{G_0}$ and $\mathrm{res} : B_2 \to B^q_M(G_0)$ are isomorphisms.

Step 3. Consider the map $\mathrm{res} : B_1 \to B^q_M(N)^{G_0}$ first.

Note that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_1 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{res}"] \arrow[d, "f_1"'] & B^q_M(N)^{G_0} \arrow[d, "f_2"] \\
H^q(G, M) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{res}^N_G"'] & H^q(N, M)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where f_1 and f_2 are inclusion maps. Since the composite map $H^q(G, M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}^N_G} H^q(N, M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{cor}^G_N} H^q(G, M)$ is the multiplication by m map [Br, page 82], it follows that $\mathrm{cor}^G_N \circ \mathrm{res}^N_G : B_1 \to H^q(G, M)$ is injective. In particular, $\mathrm{res}^N_G : B_1 \to H^q(N, M)$ and $\mathrm{res} : B_1 \to B^q_M(N)^{G_0}$ are injective maps.

By Step 1, the corestriction map $\mathrm{cor} : B^q_M(N)^{G_0} \to B^q_M(G)$ is well-defined. Since $n \cdot B^q_M(N)^{G_0} = 0$, we find that $\mathrm{cor} : B^q_M(N)^{G_0} \to B_1$ is also well-defined. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B^q_M(N)^{G_0} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{cor}"] \arrow[d, "f_2"'] & B_1 \arrow[d, "f_1"] \\
H^q(N, M) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{cor}^G_N"'] & H^q(G, M)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The composite map $H^q(N, M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{cor}^G_N} H^q(G, M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}^N_G} H^q(N, M)$ is the norm map by [Br, page 81], i.e. $\mathrm{res}^N_G \circ \mathrm{cor}^G_N([\gamma]) = \sum_{g \in G_0} [{}^{g}\gamma]$ for any $[\gamma] \in H^q(N, M)$. Since $[{}^{g}\gamma] = [\gamma]$ for any $[\gamma] \in B^q_M(N)^{G_0}$, it follows that $\mathrm{res}^N_G \circ \mathrm{cor}^G_N : B^q_M(N)^{G_0} \to B^q_M(N)^{G_0}$ is the multiplication by m map. For any $[\gamma] \in B^q_M(N)^{G_0}$, find $[\gamma'] \in B^q_M(N)^{G_0}$ such that $[\gamma] = m[\gamma'] = \mathrm{res} \circ \mathrm{cor}([\gamma']) = \mathrm{res}(\mathrm{cor}([\gamma']))$. Hence $\mathrm{res} : B_1 \to B^q_M(N)^{G_0}$ is surjective.

Step 4. Consider the map $\mathrm{res} : B_2 \to B^q_M(G_0)$.

The injectivity of $\mathrm{res} : B_2 \to B^q_M(G_0)$ follows also from the similar fact that the composite map $H^q(G, M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}^{G_0}_G} H^q(G_0, M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{cor}^G_{G_0}} H^q(G, M)$ is the multiplication by n map. The details are omitted.

For the surjectivity of res : $B_2 \to B^q_M(G_0)$, we will show that the inflation map $\inf^G_{G_0} : H^q(G_0, M) \to H^q(G, M)$ induces a well-defined map inf : $B^q_M(G_0) \to B^q_M(G)$; here we identify G_0 with G/N.

Note that $\inf^G_{G_0} : H^q(G_0, M) \to H^q(G, M)$ is defined as: for any q-cocycle γ with $[\gamma] \in H^q(G_0, M)$, for any $x_1 = g_1h_1$, $x_2 = g_2h_2$, …, $x_q = g_qh_q$ where $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_q \in N$, $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_g \in G_0$, the cocycle $\inf(\gamma)$ is defined by $\inf(\gamma)(x_1, \ldots, x_q) = \gamma(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_q)$.

Assume that inf : $B^q_M(G_0) \to B^q_M(G)$ is well-defined, whose proof will be given in Step 5. Then inf : $B^q_M(G_0) \to B_2$ is also well-defined. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B^q_M(G_0) \arrow[r, "\inf"] \arrow[d, "f_3"'] & B_2 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{res}"] \arrow[d, "f_4"] & B^q_M(G_0) \arrow[d, "f_3"] \\
H^q(G_0, M) \arrow[r, "\inf^G_{G_0}"'] & H^q(G, M) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{res}^{G_0}_G"'] & H^q(G_0, M)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where f_3, f_4 are the inclusion maps.

Since the composite of the bottom row is the identity map, so is that of the top row. Hence res : $B_2 \to B^q_M(G_0)$ is surjective.

Step 5. It remains to show that $\inf^G_{G_0} : H^q(G_0, M) \to H^q(G, M)$ induces a well-defined map inf : $B^q_M(G_0) \to B^q_M(G)$.

For any bicyclic subgroup A of G, for any $[\gamma] \in B^q_M(G_0)$, we will show that $\mathrm{res}^A_G \circ \inf^G_{G_0}([\gamma]) = 0$.

Write $A = \langle x, y\rangle$, $A_1 = \langle x^m, y^m\rangle$, $A_2 = \langle x^n, y^n\rangle$. Applying Lemma 2.1 as in Step 1, we will show that the images of $[\gamma]$ in $H^q(G_0, M) \xrightarrow{\inf^G_{G_0}} H^q(G, M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}^{A_1}_G} H^q(A_1, M)$ and $H^q(G_0, M) \xrightarrow{\inf^G_{G_0}} H^q(G, M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}^{A_2}_G} H^q(A_2, M)$ are zero.

Since $m \cdot H^q(G_0, M) = 0 = n \cdot H^q(A_1, M)$, the composite map $H^q(G_0, M) \to H^q(G, M) \to H^q(A_1, M)$ is the zero map. This solves the case of A_1.

For the case of $H^q(A_2, M)$, it requires some work. Recall $A = \langle x, y\rangle$ is bicyclic. Write $x = g_1h_1$, $y = g_2h_2$ where $g_1, g_2 \in N$, $h_1, h_2 \in G_0$. It is routine to verify that $x^n = x_1h_1^n$, $y^n = y_1h_2^n$ for some $x_1, y_1 \in N$, which are irrelevant in our subsequent proof. Similarly, for any non-negative integers a, b, we find $(x^n)^a(y^n)^b = uh_1^{na}h_2^{nb}$ for some $u \in N$.

Now consider $\mathrm{res}^{A_2}_G \circ \inf^G_{G_0}(\gamma)$. For any $(x^n)^{a_1}(y^n)^{b_1}, \ldots, (x^n)^{a_q}(y^n)^{b_q} \in A_2 = \langle x^n, y^n\rangle$ where a_i, b_i are any non-negative integers, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\mathrm{res}^{A_2}_G \circ \inf^G_{G_0}(\gamma)\right)\left((x^n)^{a_1}(y^n)^{b_1}, \ldots, (x^n)^{a_q}(y^n)^{b_q}\right)\\
&= \gamma(h_1^{na_1}h_2^{nb_1}, \ldots, h_1^{na_q}h_2^{nb_q})\\
&= \mathrm{res}^{A_0}_{G_0}(\gamma)(h_1^{na_1}h_2^{nb_1}, \ldots, h_1^{na_q}h_2^{nb_q})
\end{aligned}$$

where $A_0 = \langle h_1^n, h_2^n\rangle \subset G_0$.

Since $\gamma \in B^q_M(G_0)$, we find that $\mathrm{res}^{A_0}_{G_0}(\gamma)$ is a coboundary. ■

Remark. Tahara studies the Schur multiplier $M(G)$ of a finite group $G = N \rtimes G_0$ [Ta; Kar, page 33]. In case $\gcd\{|N|, |G_0|\} = 1$, Tahara's formula becomes $M(G) \simeq M(N)^{G_0} \times M(G_0)$, which resembles the formula in Theorem 2.6. Such a formula is valid for $H^q(G, M)$ with $q \geq 1$; this fact was observed by Karpilovsky for $q = 2$ [Kar, page 35]. We record it as follows.

Theorem 2.7 *Let $G = N \rtimes G_0$ be a finite group, M be a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module with trivial G-actions. If $\gcd\{|N|, |G_0|\} = 1$, then the restriction map $\mathrm{res} : H^q(G, M) \to H^q(N, M)^{G_0} \times H^q(G_0, M)$ is an isomorphism for all $q \geq 1$.*

Proof. This result is implicit in the proof of Theorem 2.6. The details of the proof are omitted.

An alternative proof is to use the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G_0, H^q(N, M)) \Rightarrow H^n(G, M)$. Since $\gcd\{|N|, |G_0|\} = 1$, it follows that $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ (if $p \geq 1$ and $q \geq 1$) and $E_2^{0,1} \to E_2^{2,0}$ is the zero map. It follows that $E_2^{p,q} = E_3^{p,q} = \cdots = E_\infty^{p,q}$. Hence we get a short exact sequence $0 \to E_\infty^{n,0} \to H^n(G, M) \to E_\infty^{0,n} \to 0$, which splits because $\gcd\{|N|, |G_0|\} = 1$. Note that $E_\infty^{n,0} = H^n(G_0, M^N) = H^n(G_0, M)$ because G acts trivially on M. The map $E_\infty^{n,0} = H^n(G_0, M^N) \to H^n(G, M)$ is the inflation map. ■

Remark. Let $G = N \rtimes G_0$. Assume that N is a perfect group (but without assuming $\gcd\{|N|, |G_0|\} = 1$), Kunyavskii proves that $M(G) \to M(N)^{G_0} \times M(G_0)$ is an isomorphism [Ku, page 212].

We give an application of Theorem 1.5 (or Theorem 2.6) to Frobenius groups. Recall that a Frobenius group G is a semidirect product $G = N \rtimes G_0$ satisfying that, if $\sigma \in N \backslash \{1\}$ and $g \in G_0 \backslash \{1\}$, then $g\sigma g^{-1} \neq \sigma$. In particular, $\gcd\{|N|, |G_0|\} = 1$. The subgroup N is called the Frobenius kernel of G, the subgroup G_0 is a Frobenius complement of G (see, for example, [Is, pages 181–182; Ka2]).

Theorem 2.8 *Let $G = N \rtimes G_0$ be a finite Frobenius group with kernel N and complement G_0. Then the restriction map $\mathrm{res} : B_0(G) \to B_0(N)^{G_0}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. A Frobenius complement is a GZ-group by [Ka2, Theorem 1.4, Definition 1.5]. The classification of such GZ-groups are given in [Ka2, Theorem 2.7, Theorem 2.8, Theorem 2.9]. Applying [Ka2, Theorem 4.7, Theorem 4.10, Theorem 4.11], we find that $\mathbb{C}(G_0)$ is retract $\mathbb{C}$-rational. In particular, $B_0(G_0) = 0$. Now apply Theorem 1.5. ■

§3. The lattice method

In this section we recall several preliminaries which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.9.

Theorem 3.1 ([HK2, Theorem 1]) *Let L be any field and G be a finite group acting on $L(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$, the rational function field of m variables over the field L. Suppose that*

(i) *for any $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(L) \subset L$,*

(ii) *the restriction of the action of G to L is faithful;*

(iii) *for any $\sigma \in G$,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(x_m) \end{pmatrix} = A(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix} + B(\sigma)$$

where $A(\sigma) \in GL_m(L)$ and $B(\sigma)$ is an $m \times 1$ matrix over L.

Then there is a matrix $(\alpha_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m} \in GL_m(L)$ and $\beta_i \in L$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that, by defining

$$z_i := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \alpha_{ij} x_j + \beta_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

we have $L(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = L(z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ and $\sigma(z_i) = z_i$ for all $\sigma \in G$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Moreover, if $B(\sigma) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in G$, then we may choose $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_m = 0$ in the above definition of $z_1, \ldots, z_m$.

Theorem 3.2 (Saltman [Sa3, Proposition 3.6; Ka1, Lemma 3.4]) *Let $k \subset L$ be infinite fields, $L(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ be the rational function field of m variables over L. Then L is retract k-rational if and only if so is $L(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$.*

Definition 3.3 Let G be a finite group. A finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module M is called a G-lattice if it is a free abelian group when the G-action is forgotten. A G-lattice M is called a permutation lattice if it can be written as $M = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{Z} \cdot e_i$ such that, for all $\sigma \in G$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\sigma \cdot e_i = e_j$ (where j depends on σ and i). A G-lattice M is called an invertible lattice if there is some G-lattice M' such that $M \oplus M'$ is a permutation lattice. A G-lattice M is called a flabby lattice (or, a flasque lattice) if $H^{-1}(H, M) = 0$ for all subgroups H of G (note that $H^{-1}(G, M)$ is the Tate cohomology). In the category of flabby G-lattices, we introduce a similarity relation: Two G-lattices M_1 and M_2 are similar if and only if $M_1 \oplus P \simeq M_2 \oplus Q$ for some permutation lattices P and Q. The equivalence class containing M is denoted by $[M]$.

The theory of flabby lattices was developed by Swan, Endo and Miyata, Voskresenskii, Lenstra, Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc, etc. The reader can find an account of this theory in [Sw3; Lo]. Also see [Sw2; Le].

Definition 3.4 It is known that, for any G-lattice M, there is an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules $0 \to M \to P \to E \to 0$ where P is a permutation lattice and E is a flabby lattice [Sw3, Lemma 8.5]. We define $[M]^{fl} = [E]$. Note that $[M]^{fl}$ is well-defined. For, if $0 \to M \to Q \to F \to 0$ is another resolution where Q is permutation and F is flabby, then $P \oplus F \simeq Q \oplus E$ [Sw3, Lemma 8.7]. Thus $[E] = [F]$.

In particular, $[M]^{fl} = 0$ if and only if there is a exact sequence of G-lattices $0 \to M \to P \to Q \to 0$ where P and Q are permutation lattices. In case $[M]^{fl} = [E]$ for some invertible lattice E, we will say that $[M]^{fl}$ is invertible by abusing the notation.

Note that $[M]^{fl}$ is denoted by $\rho(M)$ in [Sw3, page 33]. We adopt the notation of Lorenz [Lo, page 38].

Definition 3.5 Let G be a finite group, M be a G-lattice with $M = \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le n} \mathbb{Z} \cdot e_i$, and K be a field. We define $K(M) = K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ the rational function field of n variables over K where $n = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} M$. Suppose that G has an action on K with $K^G = k$ (it is permissible that G acts faithfully on K or G acts trivially on K). We define a G-action on the field $K(M)$ by $\sigma(x_j) = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} x_i^{a_{ij}}$ if $\sigma \cdot e_j = \sum_{1 \le i \le n} a_{ij} e_i$ in M; the action of G on the base field K is the prescribed G-action on K. The fixed field of $K(M)$ under this G-action is denoted by $K(M)^G$.

In other words, if G acts trivially on K, i.e. $k = K$, the G-action on $K(M) = k(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is called a purely monomial action of G in [HK1]. If G acts faithfully on K, i.e. $G \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$, then $K(M)^G$ is the function field of an algebraic torus over k, split by K with character module M [Vo]. In general, the action of G on $K(M)$ is called a purely quasi-monomial action in [HKK, Definition 1.1].

Theorem 3.6 *Let K/k be a finite Galois extension of fields, $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$, and M be a G-lattice.*

(1) (Lenstra [Le, page 304]) *$K(M)^G$ is stably k-rational if and only if $[M]^{fl} = 0$.*

(2) (Saltman [Sa3, page 189]) *$K(M)^G$ is retract k-rational if and only $[M]^{fl}$ is invertible.*

Theorem 3.7 (Kang [Ka1, Theorem 5.4]) *Let G be a finite group, M be a faithful G-lattice, i.e. for any $\sigma \in G \backslash \{1\}$ $\sigma \cdot v \neq v$ for some $v \in M$. Let G act on $k(M)$ by k-automorphisms. Then $k(G)$ is retract k-rational if and only if so is $k(M)^G$ for any faithful G-lattice M (resp. for some faithful G-lattice M) satisfying that $[M]^{fl}$ is invertible.*

Theorem 3.8 *Let G be a finite group such that all the Sylow subgroups of G are cyclic. Let M be a G-lattice. Then $[M]^{fl}$ is invertible. Moreover, if k is an infinite field with $\zeta_e \in k$ where $e = \exp(G)$, then both $k(G)$ and $k(M)$ are retract k-rational.*

Proof. $[M]^{fl}$ is invertible by Endo-Miyata's Theorem [EM, Theorem 1.5; Sw2, Theorem 3.4; Ka1, Theorem 3.5].

By [Is, Theorem 5.16, page 160], both $[G, G]$ and $G/[G, G]$ are cyclic groups. By [Ka1, Theorem 5.10], $k(G)$ is retract k-rational.

It remains to show that $k(M)^G$ is also retract k-rational. Define $H = \{\tau \in G : \tau \cdot v = v$ for any $v \in M\}$. Then M is a faithful G/H-lattice.

It is clear that all Sylow subgroups of G/H are cyclic. Hence $k(G/H)$ is retract k-rational by the above arguments. Regard M as a faithful G/H-lattice. Then $[M]^{fl}$ is invertible by Endo-Miyata's Theorem again. Thus we may apply Theorem 3.7 to show that $k(M)^{G/H}$ is retract k-rational. But $k(M)^G = \{k(M)^H\}^{G/H} = k(M)^{G/H}$. ■

Theorem 3.9 *Let G be a finite group, $0 \to M \to F \to B \to 0$ be an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules such that F is a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module. Suppose that, for some positive integer e, $e \cdot B = 0$. If $\gcd\{|G|, e\} = 1$, then M is a projective $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module.*

Proof. This theorem is essentially a restatement of a result of Swan [Sw1, Proposition 7.1].

Write $n = |G|$. Find integers s and t such that $sn + te = 1$.

Define a map $\phi : B \to B$ by $\phi(b) = s \cdot b$ for any $b \in B$. Note that ϕ is a homomorphism of abelian groups, it is not a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-morphism.

For any $\sigma \in G$, define ${}^{\sigma}\phi : B \to B$ by ${}^{\sigma}\phi(b) := \sigma(\phi(\sigma^{-1} \cdot b))$. Note that ${}^{\sigma}\phi(b) = \sigma(\phi(\sigma^{-1} \cdot b)) = \sigma(s \cdot (\sigma^{-1} \cdot b)) = s \cdot b$. Hence $(\sum_{\sigma \in G} {}^{\sigma}\phi)(b) = ns \cdot b = (1 - te) \cdot b = b$.

Thus the $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module B is weakly projective in the sense of [CE, Proposition 1.1, page 233]. Hence it is cohomologically trivial by [CE, Proposition 2.2, page 236]. Applying [Ri, Theorem 4.12], we find that B has homological dimension ≤ 1. But $0 \to M \to F \to B \to 0$ is a resolution of B. Thus M is a projective module. ■

§4. Proof of Theorem 1.9

The following theorem is a special case of Theorem 1.6. We include it here for two reasons. First, Saltman proves Theorem 1.6 by constructing a generic Galois G-extension while our proof of Theorem 4.1 is a direct proof showing $k(G)$ is retract k-rational. Second, Saltman's Theorem is the prototype of Jambor's Theorem. A good understanding of (a variant of) Saltman's Theorem, i.e. Theorem 4.1, is helpful to clarify the main idea of the proof of Theorem 1.9.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $G = N \rtimes G_0$ be a finite group where N is an abelian normal subgroup of exponent e. Let k be an infinite field with $\zeta_e \in k$. If $\gcd\{|N|, |G_0|\} = 1$ and $k(G_0)$ is retract k-rational, then $k(G)$ is also retract k-rational.*

Proof. Step 1. Recall that $k(G) = k(x(g') : g' \in G)^G$. We will work on the k-vector space $X = \bigoplus_{g' \in G} k \cdot x(g')$ with a left G-action defined by $h' \cdot x(g') = x(h'g')$ for any $g', h' \in G$.

There is also a right G-action on X defined by $x(g') \cdot h' = x(g'h')$ for any $g', h' \in G$. Note that, if $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$, then $(g_1 \cdot x(g_2)) \cdot g_3 = g_1 \cdot (x(g_2) \cdot g_3)$. Thus the group algebra $\Lambda := \{\sum_i a_i \cdot g'_i : a_i \in k, g'_i \in G\}$ acts on X from the left and from the right. The reason to introduce the right action of G on X is that it facilitate to write elements of X in a succinct way in the sequel.

Step 2. Consider the k-vector subspace $Y = \bigoplus_{\tau \in N} k \cdot x(\tau)$. The group N acts on Y and this group action can be diagonalized simultaneously because N is abelian of exponent e and $\zeta_e \in k$.

Explicitly, define $N^* = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \langle \zeta_e \rangle)$ which is a $\mathbb{Z}[G_0]$-module defined as: for any $g \in G_0$, any $\chi \in N^*$, ${}^g\chi \in N^*$ and the character ${}^g\chi$ is defined by ${}^g\chi(\tau) := \chi(g^{-1}\tau g)$ for any $\tau \in N$. Now, for any $\chi \in N^*$, define $w(\chi) = \sum_{\tau \in N} \chi(\tau^{-1}) \cdot x(\tau) \in Y$. Clearly $\sigma(w(\chi)) = \chi(\sigma) \cdot w(\chi)$ for all $\sigma \in N$. Thus $Y = \bigoplus_{\chi \in N^*} k \cdot w(\chi)$.

It follows that $X = \bigoplus_{\chi, g} k \cdot (w(\chi) \cdot g)$ where χ runs all characters in N^* and g runs all elements in G_0. Thus $k(x(g') : g' \in G) = k(w(\chi) \cdot g : \chi \in N^*, g \in G_0)$.

Note that $\sigma \cdot (w(\chi) \cdot g) = \chi(\sigma) \cdot (w(\chi) \cdot g)$ for any $\sigma \in N$, any $g \in G_0$. Also note that $w(\chi) \cdot g = \sum_{\tau \in N} \chi(\tau^{-1}) x(\tau g) = \sum_{\tau \in N} \chi(\tau^{-1}) x(g \cdot g^{-1}\tau g) = g \cdot w({}^{g^{-1}}\chi)$.

Step 3. Define $P := \bigoplus_{\chi, g} \mathbb{Z} \cdot (w(\chi) \cdot g)$ where $\chi \in N^*$ and $g \in G_0$. It follows that $P = \bigoplus_{\chi, g} \mathbb{Z} \cdot (w(\chi) \cdot g) = \bigoplus_{\chi, g} \mathbb{Z} \cdot (g \cdot w({}^{g^{-1}}\chi)) = \bigoplus_{\psi, g} \mathbb{Z} \cdot (g \cdot w(\psi))$ where $g \in G_0$ and $\chi \in N^*$ (resp. $\psi \in N^*$).

For any $h \in G_0$, define $h \cdot (g \cdot w(\psi)) = hg \cdot w(\psi)$. Thus P becomes a G_0-lattice. For a fixed $\psi \in N^*$, the $\mathbb{Z}[G_0]$-module $\bigoplus_{g \in G_0} \mathbb{Z} \cdot (g \cdot w(\psi))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[G_0]$. Hence P is a free $\mathbb{Z}[G_0]$-module of rank equal to $|N|$.

Define a map $\Phi : P \to N^*$ by $\Phi(w(\chi) \cdot g) = \chi$. Note that, for any $h \in G_0$, $\Phi(h \cdot (w(\chi) \cdot g)) = \Phi(w({}^h\chi) \cdot hg) = {}^h\chi = h \cdot \Phi(w(\chi) \cdot g)$. It follows that Φ is a morphism of $\mathbb{Z}[G_0]$-modules.

Define $M = \operatorname{Ker}(\Phi)$. Then we have an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G_0]$-modules $0 \to M \to P \to N^* \to 0$.

Step 4. Since $k(P) = k(w(\chi) \cdot g : \chi \in N^*, g \in G_0)$ and $\sigma \cdot (w(\chi) \cdot g) = \chi(\sigma) \cdot (w(\chi) \cdot g)$ for any $\sigma \in N$, it is easy to see that M is the set of all "monomials" (in the variables $w(\chi) \cdot g$) which are left fixed by the action of N. We conclude that $k(M) = k(P)^N = k(x(g') : g' \in G)^N$. It follows that $k(M)^{G_0} = \{k(P)^N\}^{G_0} = k(G)$

The above deduction that $k(M)^{G_0} = k(G)$ was generalized by Saltman in Theorem 4.2. It is useful in other rationality problems.

Step 5. Since $\gcd\{|N|, |G_0|\} = 1$ and P is a free $\mathbb{Z}[G_0]$-module by Step 3, we may apply Theorem 3.9 to the sequence $0 \to M \to P \to N^* \to 0$. Thus M is a projective $\mathbb{Z}[G_0]$-module also. Hence it is an invertible G_0-lattice; in particular, $[M]^{fl}$ is invertible.

Since $k(G_0)$ is retract k-rational by assumption, we may apply Theorem 3.7 to $k(M)^{G_0}$. It follows that $k(M)^{G_0} = k(G)$ is retract k-rational. ■

We record the theorem of Saltman mentioned in Step 4 of the above proof.

Theorem 4.2 ([Sa4, Theorem 3.1; Be, Lemma 2.7]) *Let $G = N \rtimes G_0$ where N is an abelian normal subgroup of exponent e. Define $N^* = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z})$ and regard N^* as a $\mathbb{Z}[G_0]$-module where G_0 acts on $\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$ trivially. Suppose that $0 \to M \to P \to N^* \to 0$ is an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G_0]$-modules such that P is a permutation G_0-lattice and M*

is a faithful G_0-lattice. If k is an infinite field with $\zeta_e \in k$ and G_0 acts on $k(M)$ by k-automorphisms, then $k(M)^{G_0}$ is stably isomorphic to $k(G)$ over k.

Proof of Theorem 1.9.

Step 1. As in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 4.1, define a k-vector space $X = \bigoplus_{g' \in G} k \cdot x(g')$ with a left G-action. It follows that $k(G) = k(x(g')) : g \in G)^G$. Moreover, define a right action of G on X by $x(g') \cdot h' = x(g'h')$ for any $g', h' \in G$. Thus the group algebra $\Lambda := \{\sum_i a_i \cdot g'_i : a_i \in k, g'_i \in G\}$ acts on X from the left and from the right.

Similarly, for any prime number $p \mid |N|$, define a k-vector space $\tilde{X}_p = \bigoplus_{g' \in G} k \cdot x_p(g')$ with a left G-action and a right G-action.

Choose any prime number p_1 with $p_1 \mid |N|$. Embed X into $\bigoplus_{p \| N|} \tilde{X}_p$ by sending $x(g')$ to $x_{p_1}(g')$ for any $g' \in G$.

Apply Theorem 3.1. We find that $k(x_p(g') : g' \in G, p \mid |N|)^G$ is rational over $k(x(g') : g' \in G)^G = k(G)$. By Theorem 3.2, $k(x_p(g') : g' \in G, p \mid |N|)^G$ is retract k-rational if and only if so is $k(G)$. It suffices to show that $k(x_p(g') : g' \in G, p \mid |N|)^G$ is retract k-rational.

Step 2. Consider the representation $G_0 \to GL(W_{\text{reg}})$ where W_{reg} is the regular representation space of G_0. Consider the composite map $G \to G_0 \to GL(W_{\text{reg}})$. Thus we get a k-vector space $X_0 = \bigoplus_{g \in G_0} k \cdot x_0(g)$ with a left G-action defined by $\tau \cdot x_0(g) = x_0(g)$, $h \cdot x_0(g) = x_0(hg)$ for any $g, h \in G_0$, any $\tau \in N$.

Consider $k(x_p(g'), x_0(g) : g' \in G, g \in G_0, p \mid |N|)$. Apply Theorem 3.1 again. We find that $k(x_p(g'), x_0(g) : g' \in G, g \in G_0, p \mid |N|)^G$ is rational over $k(x_p(g') : g' \in G, p \mid |N|)^G$. By Theorem 3.2, $k(x_p(g'), x_0(g) : g' \in G, g \in G_0, p \mid |N|)^G$ is retract k-rational if and only if so is $k(x_p(g') : g' \in G, p \mid |N|)^G$. It remains to show that $k(x_p(g'), x_0(g) : g' \in G, g \in G_0, p \mid |N|)^G$ is retract k-rational.

Define $K = k(x_0(g) : g \in G_0)$. Then $K(x_p(g') : g' \in G, p \mid |N|) = k(x_p(g'), x_0(g) : g' \in G, g \in G_0, p \mid |N|)$. We will show that $K(x_p(g') : g' \in G, p \mid |N|)^G$ is retract rational over $K^G \simeq k(G_0)$.

Assuming this, we find that $K(x_p(g') : g' \in G, p \mid |N|)^G$ is retract k-rational by [Ka1, Theorem 4.2] because $k(G_0)$ is retract k-rational by assumption. This finishes the proof that $k(G)$ is retract k-rational.

In summary, our purpose is to show that $K(x_p(g') : g' \in G, p \mid |N|)^G$ is retract rational over K^G where $K = k(x_0(g) : g \in G_0)$.

Step 3. Recall the notations in Definition 1.7 where $N = \prod_{p \| N|} N_p$ and $H_p = \{g \in G_0 : g\tau g^{-1} = \tau \text{ for any } \tau \in N_p\}$. Define N'_p to be the subgroup of N generated by Sylow subgroups of N other than N_p. As before, define $N^* = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \langle\zeta_e\rangle)$ and $N_p^* = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N_p, \langle\zeta_e\rangle)$ with the natural structures of $\mathbb{Z}[G_0]$-modules.

We may write $N^* = \oplus_{p \| N|} N_p^*$ in the sense that, for any $\chi \in N_p^*$ and any $\sigma \in N'_p$, we require that $\chi(\sigma) = 1$.

For any prime number $p \mid |N|$, define a subspace Y_p of $\tilde{X}_p$ by defining $Y_p := \bigoplus_{\tau\in N_p} k \cdot y(\tau)$ with $y(\tau)$ defined as $y(\tau) := \sum_{\sigma} x_p(\tau\sigma)$ where σ runs over elements in N'_p. It is easy to verify that $\tau' \cdot y(\tau) = y(\tau'\tau)$, $\sigma \cdot y(\tau) = y(\tau)$ for any $\tau, \tau' \in N_p$, any $\sigma \in N'_p$.

Define a subspace X_p of $\tilde{X}_p$ by $X_p := \bigoplus_{\tau,g} k \cdot (y(\tau) \cdot g)$ where $\tau \in N_p$, $g \in G_0$.

Note that $X_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{p||N|} X_p$ is a faithful G-subspace (from the left) of $X_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{p||N|} \tilde{X}_p$. Apply Theorem 3.1. We find that $K(x_p(g') : g' \in G, p \mid |N|)^G = K(y(\tau) \cdot g : \tau \in N_p, g \in G_0, p \mid |N|)^G(u_1, \ldots, u_t)$ for some variables $u_1, \ldots, u_t$ with $g'(u_i) = u_i$ for all $g' \in G$, for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. By Theorem 3.2, $K(x_p(g') : g' \in G, p \mid |N|)^G$ is retract rational over K^G if and only if so is $K(y(\tau) \cdot g : \tau \in N_p,\ g \in G_0,\ p \mid |N|)^G$ over K^G.

In conclusion, our goal is to prove that $K(y(\tau) \cdot g : \tau \in N_p,\ g \in G_0,\ p \mid |N|)^G$ is retract rational over K^G.

Step 4. In Step 4 – Step 6, p denotes a prime divisor of $|N|$, which is fixed in the discussion.

For any $\chi \in N_p^*$, define $w(\chi) = \sum_{\tau\in N_p} \chi(\tau^{-1}) \cdot y(\tau) \in Y_p$. It is not difficult to show that $\tau \cdot w(\chi) = \chi(\tau) \cdot w(\chi)$ and $\sigma \cdot w(\chi) = w(\chi)$ for any $\tau \in N_p$, for all $\sigma \in N'_p$.

On the other hand, write $H_p = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ with $s = |H_p|$. The group H_p acts naturally on $K(x_p(g_i) : 1 \leq i \leq s)$ and the restriction of this action to K is faithful. By Theorem 3.1, there is a matrix $A := (\alpha_{ij}) \in GL_s(K)$ such that $K(x_p(g_i) : 1 \leq i \leq s) = K(\tilde{u}_i : 1 \leq i \leq s)$ where $\tilde{u}_i = \sum_{1\leq l\leq s} \alpha_{il} \cdot x_p(g_l)$ and $g \cdot \tilde{u}_i = \tilde{u}_i$ for all $g \in H_p$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$.

For $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\chi \in N_p$, define $u_i(\chi) := \sum_{1\leq l\leq s} \alpha_{il} \cdot (w(\chi) \cdot g_l) \in \bigoplus_{\tau,g} K \cdot (y(\tau) \cdot g)$. Note that $u_i(\chi) \in K \otimes_k X_p$; in fact, $\bigoplus_{i,\chi} K \cdot u_i(\chi) = \bigoplus_{i,\tau} K \cdot (y(\tau) \cdot g_i)$ where $1 \leq i \leq s$, $\chi \in N_p^*$ and $\tau \in N_p$.

Since N acts trivially on K, it is easy to verify that, if $\tau \in N_p$, $\sigma \in N'_p$ and $\chi \in N_p^*$, then $\tau \cdot u_i(\chi) = \chi(\tau) \cdot u_i(\chi)$, $\sigma \cdot u_i(\chi) = u_i(\chi)$.

In the next step, we will show that, if $g \in H_p$, $\chi \in N_p^*$ and $1 \leq i \leq s$, then $g \cdot u_i(\chi) = u_i(\chi)$.

Step 5. First we note that, if $\tau \in N_p$ and $g \in G_0$, then $y(\tau) \cdot g = g \cdot y(g^{-1}\tau g)$; similarly, if $\chi \in N_p^*$ and $1 \leq l \leq s$, then $w(\chi) \cdot g_l = g_l \cdot w(\chi)$ because each $g_l \in H_p$.

If $g \in H_p$, $\chi \in N_p^*$ and $1 \leq i \leq s$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot u_i(\chi) &= g \cdot \sum_{1\leq l\leq s} \alpha_{il} \cdot (w(\chi) \cdot g_l) \\ &= \sum_{1\leq l\leq s} g(\alpha_{il}) \cdot (g(g_l \cdot w(\chi))) \\ &= \sum_{1\leq l\leq s} g(\alpha_{il}) \cdot ((g \cdot g_l) \cdot \sum_{\tau\in N_p} \chi(\tau^{-1}) \cdot y(\tau)) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{1\le l\le s} g(\alpha_{il}) \cdot ((g\cdot g_l)\cdot \sum_{\tau,\sigma} \chi(\tau^{-1})\cdot x_p(\tau\sigma))$$

where $\tau \in N_p$, $\sigma \in N'_p$.

The right-hand-side of the above identity becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{1\le l\le s} g(\alpha_{il}) \cdot (x_p(g\cdot g_l)\cdot \sum_{\tau,\sigma} \chi(\tau^{-1})\cdot \tau\sigma)\\ =& (\sum_{1\le l\le s} g(\alpha_{il}) \cdot x_p(g\cdot g_l))\cdot \sum_{\tau,\sigma} \chi(\tau^{-1})\cdot \tau\sigma\end{aligned}$$

where the right factor is an element in the group algebra $\Lambda := \{\sum_i a_i \cdot g'_i : a_i \in k, g'_i \in G\}$ (thus we write the term as $\tau\sigma$, not as $x_p(\tau\sigma)$).

Continue the computation of the right-hand-side of the above identity. We get

$$\begin{aligned}&g(\tilde{u}_i)\cdot(\sum_{\tau,\sigma} \chi(\tau^{-1})\cdot \tau\sigma)\\ =& \tilde{u}_i\cdot(\sum_{\tau,\sigma} \chi(\tau^{-1})\cdot \tau\sigma).\end{aligned}$$

Note that the right-hand-side is independent of the element $g \in H_p$. We conclude that $g\cdot u_i(\chi) = u_i(\chi)$ for all $g \in H_p$.

Step 6. Write $G_0 = \bigcup_{1\le j\le t} h_j H_p$ where $|G_0| = t\cdot|H_p| = st$. Note that s and t depend on the prime factor p; for simplicity, we will use the notation s and t instead of $s(p)$ and $t(p)$.

Define $u_{ij}(\chi) = h_j(u_i(\chi))$ for $1 \le i \le s$, $1 \le j \le t$, $\chi \in N_p^*$.

Clearly $\bigoplus_{i,j,\chi} K\cdot u_{ij}(\chi)$ is the induced representation space of $\bigoplus_{i,\chi} K\cdot u_i(\chi) = \bigoplus_{i,\tau} K\cdot(y(\tau)\cdot g_i)$ in $K\otimes X_p$. Counting the dimensions, we find that $\{u_{ij}(\chi) : 1\le i\le s, 1\le j\le t, \chi\in N_p^*\}$ is a basis of $K\otimes X_p$. Thus $K(y(\tau)\cdot g : \tau\in N_p, g\in G_0) = K(u_{ij}(\chi) : 1\le i\le s, 1\le j\le t, \chi\in N_p^*)$.

It is not difficult to check the action of G_0 on $u_{ij}(\chi)$. It is given as follows: For any $h\in G_0$, if $h\cdot h_j = h_{j'}\cdot g$ for some $1\le j'\le t$, some $g\in H_p$, then $h\cdot u_{ij}(\chi) = h(h_j(u_i(\chi))) = (h_{j'}\cdot g)(u_i(\chi)) = u_{ij'}(\chi)$.

Step 7. Define a G_0-lattice $F := \bigoplus_{i,j,\chi,p} \mathbb{Z}\cdot u_{ij}(\chi)$ where $1\le i\le s$, $1\le j\le t$, $\chi\in N_p^*$ and $p \mid |N|$. For each $p\mid |N|$, define $F_p := \bigoplus_{i,j,\chi}\mathbb{Z}\cdot u_{ij}(\chi)$ where $1\le i\le s$, $1\le j\le t$ and $\chi\in N_p^*$. Thus $F = \oplus_{p||N|} F_p$.

By the last remark of Step 6, F_p, F are permutation G_0-lattices. Moreover, $K(P) = K(u_{ij}(\chi) : 1\le i\le s, 1\le j\le t, \chi\in N_p^*, p\mid |N|) = K(y(\tau)\cdot g : \tau\in N_p, g\in G_0, p\mid |N|)$.

The action of N on $u_{ij}(\chi)$ is given as follows. If $\tau\in N_p$ and $\chi\in N_p^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau\cdot(u_{ij}(\chi)) &= \tau\cdot(h_j(u_i(\chi))) = (h_j\cdot h_j^{-1}\tau h_j)(u_i(\chi))\\ &= \chi(h_j^{-1}\tau h_j)(h_j\cdot(u_i(\chi))) = ({}^{h_j}\chi)(\tau)\cdot u_{ij}(\chi).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\sigma \in N'_p$ and $\chi \in N^*_p$, then $\sigma(u_{ij}(\chi)) = u_{ij}(\chi)$.

Step 8. The remaining proof is similar to Step 3 – Step 5 of the proof of Theorem 4.1. Define a map $\Phi : F \to N^*$ by $\Phi(u_{ij}(\chi)) = {}^{h_j}\chi$ if $\chi \in N^*_p$. Define $M = \operatorname{Ker}(\Phi)$. Let Φ_p be the restriction of Φ to N^*_p, i.e. $\Phi_p : F_p \to N^*_p \subset N^*$ with $\Phi_p(u_{ij}(\chi)) = {}^{h_j}\chi$ (remember the isomorphism $N^* = \oplus_{p||N|} N^*_p$ in Step 3). Define $M_p = \operatorname{Ker}(\Phi_p)$. Clearly Φ is a morphism of $\mathbb{Z}[G_0]$-modules.

Since H_p acts trivially on F_p by Step 6 and H_p also acts trivially on N_p, the exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G_0]$-modules $0 \to M_p \to F_p \to N^*_p \to 0$ can be regarded as an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G_0/H_p]$-modules.

Now use the assumption that either $p \nmid [G_0 : H_p]$ or all the Sylow subgroups of G_0/H_p are cyclic. We find that M_p is a projective module over $\mathbb{Z}[G_0/H_p]$ (when we apply Theorem 3.9) or $[M_p]^{fl}$ is an invertible lattice over $\mathbb{Z}[G_0/H_p]$ (when we apply Theorem 3.8). In either case, $[M_p]^{fl}$ is an invertible G_0/H_p-lattice. Thus it is an invertible G_0-lattice.

Step 9. Remember that N acts trivially on K. Thus $K(F)^N = K(M)$ by the same arguments as in Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Since $\Phi(F_p) \subset N^*_p$ and $N^* = \oplus_{p||N|} N^*_p$ is a direct product, it follows that $M = \bigoplus_{p||N|} M_p$.

On the other hand, all these M_p satisfy the condition that $[M_p]^{fl}$ is an invertible G_0-lattice. Hence $[M]^{fl}$ is also an invertible G_0-lattice.

Note that G_0 acts faithfully on K. Applying Theorem 3.6, we find that $K(M)^{G_0}$ is retract rational over $K^{G_0} \simeq k(G_0)$. Because $K(M)^{G_0} = K(y(\tau) \cdot g : g \in G_0,\ \tau \in N_p,\ p \mid |N|)^G$, we reach the goal stated at the end of Step 3. ■

Here is an application of Theorem 1.9.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $G = N \rtimes G_0$ be a finite group where N is an abelian normal subgroup and all the Sylow subgroups of G_0 are cyclic groups. If k is an infinite field with $\zeta_e \in k$ where $e = \operatorname{lcm}\{\exp(N), \exp(G_0)\}$, then $k(G)$ is retract k-rational.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.8, $k(G_0)$ is retract k-rational. Apply Theorem 1.9. ■

Remark. Barge shows that, if N is abelian and G_0 is bicyclic, then $B_0(N \rtimes G_0) = 0$ [Ba, Theorem 3]. We don't know, in the same situation, whether $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is retract $\mathbb{C}$-rational. However, if G_0 has a p-Sylow subgroup which is not bicyclic, then there is a group $G = N \rtimes G_0$ such that N is abelian and $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is not retract rational. See [Sa4, page 234; Sa5, page 543]; also see the proof of Theorem 2.6 of [Ba].

§5. $B_0(G)$ can be very big

First we recall a construction of Schur covering groups of abelian p-groups.

Theorem 5.1 ([Kar, page 90]) *Let p be a prime number, $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_t \geq 1$. Define an abelian p-group $\Gamma := C_{p^{n_1}} \times C_{p^{n_2}} \times \cdots \times C_{p^{n_t}}$. A Schur covering group $\widetilde{G}$ of Γ may be defined as $\widetilde{G} = \langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_t \rangle$ with $Z(\widetilde{G}) = [\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{G}]$ and with relations $\sigma_1^{p^{n_1}} = \sigma_2^{p^{n_2}} = \cdots = \sigma_t^{p^{n_t}} = 1$, $[\sigma_i, \sigma_j]^{p^{n_j}} = 1$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq t$.*

Theorem 5.2 *Let p be any prime number, $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer. Let $\widetilde{G} = \langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n+3} \rangle$ be the Schur covering group of the elementary abelian group Γ of order p^{n+3} defined in Theorem 5.1. Define a subgroup H of order p^n in $\widetilde{G}$ by $H = \langle [\sigma_1, \sigma_2][\sigma_3, \sigma_4], [\sigma_1, \sigma_3][\sigma_4, \sigma_5], [\sigma_1, \sigma_4][\sigma_5, \sigma_6], \ldots, [\sigma_1, \sigma_{n+1}][\sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+3}] \rangle$. Define $G = \widetilde{G}/H$. Then $B_0(G)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.3 in [HKKu].

Step 1. Let $Z(\widetilde{G})$ be the center of $\widetilde{G}$. Define $N = Z(\widetilde{G})/H$. N is an elementary abelian group of order $p^{\binom{n+3}{2}-n}$. Consider the Hochschild-Serre 5-term exact sequence,

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \to H^1(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^G \\ &\xrightarrow{\psi'} H^2(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\psi} H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

where ψ' is the transgression map and ψ is the inflation map.

We claim that the image of $\psi : H^2(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to an elementary abelian group of order p^n.

Since $G/N \simeq C_p^{n+3}$, it follows that $H^2(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is the elementary abelian group of order $p^{\binom{n+3}{2}}$ by [Kar, page 37].

Since $N \simeq C_p^{\binom{n+3}{2}-n}$, $H^1(N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is the elementary abelian group of order $p^{\binom{n+3}{2}-n}$. Since $N = Z(G)$, it follows that G acts trivially on $H^1(N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, i.e. $H^1(N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = H^1(N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^G$.

On the other hand, $N = [G, G]$. Hence $H^1(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism. Thus ψ' is an injection. It follows that the image of the restriction map $\psi : H^2(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is a group of order $p^{\binom{n+3}{2}-[\binom{n+3}{2}-n]} = p^n$.

Step 2. We will show that the image of $\psi : H^2(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is contained in $B_0(G)$. Assume it. The proof of this theorem is finished.

For any bicyclic subgroup $A = \langle x, y \rangle$ of G, we will show that the composite map $H^2(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\psi} H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is the zero map.

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^2(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\psi"] \arrow[d, "\psi_0"'] & H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\text{res}"] & H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \\
H^2(AN/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[rr, phantom, "\overset{\widetilde{\psi}}{\simeq}"] & & H^2(A/A \cap N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[u, "\psi_1"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ψ_0 is the restriction map, ψ_1 is the inflation map, $\widetilde{\psi}$ is the natural isomorphism.

We claim that AN/N is a cyclic group. Assuming this claim, we find $H^2(AN/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ by [Kar, page 37]. Thus $\operatorname{res} \circ \psi = \psi_1 \circ \widetilde{\psi} \circ \psi_0 = 0$.

It remains to show that AN/N is cyclic.

Step 3. For any bicyclic group $A = \langle x, y \rangle$ of G, we will show that AN/N is cyclic. The proof is very similar to Step 3 and Step 4 in the proof of Lemma 2.2 of [HKKu].

Since $G/N \simeq C_p^{n+3}$, we regard G/N as a vector space over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ be the image of x and y in G/N respectively. Thus $\bar{x} = \prod_i \bar{\sigma}_i^{\lambda_i}$, $\bar{y} = \prod_i \bar{\sigma}_i^{\mu_i}$ where $1 \le i \le n+3$ and $0 \le \lambda_i, \mu_i \le p-1$ (we adopt the multiplicative notation for G/N).

We will perform row operations on the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & \lambda_{n+3} \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \cdots & \mu_{n+3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In each row operation, the basis $\{\bar{\sigma}_1, \ldots, \bar{\sigma}_{n+3}\}$ will not be changed. But we may replace the generators x, y. For example, if we replace μ_1 by $\mu_1 - a\lambda_1$ by a row operation, then we replace of generator x, y by x, yx^{-a}.

If AN/N is not cyclic, there will exist i, j with $1 \le i < j \le n+3$ satisfying that $A = \langle x, y \rangle \subset G$ and $\bar{x} = \prod_{i \le s \le n+3} \bar{\sigma}_s^{a_s}$, $\bar{y} = \prod_{j \le t \le n+3} \bar{\sigma}_t^{b_t}$ where $0 \le a_s, b_t \le p-1$, with the following property

$$a_i = b_j = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_j = 0. \tag{1}$$

It follows that $x = \prod_{i \le s \le n+3} \sigma_s^{a_s} u_1$, $y = \prod_{j \le t \le n+3} \sigma_t^{b_t} u_2$ for some $u_1, u_2 \in N$.

Since $N = Z(G)$, the identity $xy = yx$ is equivalent to the following identity

$$[\prod_{i \le s \le n+3} \sigma_s^{a_s}, \prod_{j \le t \le n+3} \sigma_t^{b_t}] = 1. \tag{2}$$

Because $Z(G) = [G, G]$, we find $[x, yz] = [x, y][x, z]$, $[xy, z] = [x, z][y, z]$ for any $x, y, z \in G$.

From (2), we obtain

$$\prod_{j \le t \le n+3} [\sigma_i, \sigma_t]^{a_i b_t} \cdot \prod_{i+1 \le s < t \le n+3} [\sigma_s, \sigma_t]^{a_s b_t - a_t b_s} = 1 \tag{3}$$

with the convention $b_{i+1} = b_{i+2} = \cdots = b_{j-1} = 0$.

Suppose that $i \ge 2$. Note that $N \simeq [\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{G}]/H$ may be regarded as a vector space over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ with basis $\{[\sigma_1, \sigma_{n+2}], [\sigma_1, \sigma_{n+3}], [\sigma_s, \sigma_t] : 2 \le s < t \le n+3\}$.

It follows that $a_i b_j = a_i b_{j+1} = \cdots = a_i b_{n+3} = 0$. Hence $b_j = b_{j+1} = \cdots = b_{n+3} = 0$. But this is impossible because $b_j = 1$ by (1). From now on, we assume that $i = 1$.

Step 4. Assume that $i = 1$. Since $[\sigma_1, \sigma_s][\sigma_{s+1}, \sigma_{s+2}] \in H$ for $2 \leq s \leq n+1$, we find that $[\sigma_1, \sigma_s] = [\sigma_{s+1}, \sigma_{s+2}]^{-1}$ in G. Note that $b_{n+2} = b_{n+3} = 0$. Thus (3) becomes

$$\prod_{j \leq t \leq n+1} [\sigma_{t+1}, \sigma_{t+2}]^{-b_t} \cdot \prod_{2 \leq s < t \leq n+3} [\sigma_s, \sigma_t]^{a_s b_t - a_t b_s} = 1. \tag{4}$$

Consider the term $[\sigma_j, \sigma_t]$ for $t \geq j+2$. We find that $a_j b_t - a_t b_j = 0$. Since $a_j = 0$ and $b_j = 1$ by (1), it follows that $a_t = 0$ for $t \geq j+2$.

In summary, we have shown that $a_j = a_{j+2} = a_{j+3} = \cdots = a_{n+3} = 0$ and $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = b_{j-1} = b_{n+2} = b_{n+3} = 0$ with $a_1 = b_j = 1$.

The identity (2) becomes

$$\prod_{j \leq t \leq n+1} [\sigma_1, \sigma_t]^{b_t} \cdot \prod_{2 \leq s \leq j-1 < j \leq t \leq n+1} [\sigma_s, \sigma_t]^{a_s b_t} \cdot \prod_{j \leq t \leq n+1} [\sigma_{j+1}, \sigma_t]^{a_{j+1} b_t} = 1,$$

$$\prod_{j \leq t \leq n+1} [\sigma_{t+1}, \sigma_{t+2}]^{-b_t} \cdot \prod_{2 \leq s \leq j-1 < j \leq t \leq n+1} [\sigma_s, \sigma_t]^{a_s b_t} \cdot \prod_{j \leq t \leq n+1} [\sigma_{j+1}, \sigma_t]^{a_{j+1} b_t} = 1. \tag{5}$$

From (5), we find that $a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_{j+1} = 0$. Thus $b_j = b_{j+1} = \cdots = b_{n+1} = 0$. Again this is impossible because $b_j = 1$ by (1). ■

Remark. When $n = 1$ in the above theorem, we obtain the group G of order p^9 exhibited in the papers of Saltman and Shafarevich [Sa2, page 83; Sh, page 245].

Lemma 5.3 *Let p be a prime number, $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_t$, $t \geq 2$, $\Gamma = \langle x_1 \rangle \times \langle x_2 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle x_t \rangle$ be a direct product of cyclic groups such that $\langle x_i \rangle \simeq C_{p^{n_i}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. For $1 \leq i < j \leq t$, define a 2-cocycle $f_{ij} : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ where $f_{ij}(x^I, x^J) = \zeta_{n_j}^{-a_j b_i}$ where $x^I := x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_t^{a_t}$, $x^J := x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} \cdots x_t^{b_t}$ and we adopt the multiplicative notation for $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ (i.e. regard $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ as the group of all roots of unity in $\mathbb{C}^\times$). Then $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the cohomology classes of these f_{ij} where $1 \leq i < j \leq t$.*

Proof. See [Kar, page 37 and 91]. Here is a simple way to understand this lemma. Assume that $t = 2$. Construct the Schur covering group $\widetilde{G}$ of Γ by Theorem 5.1. The central extension $\varepsilon : 1 \to \langle c \rangle \to \widetilde{G} \to \Gamma \to 1$ where $c = [\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ is of order p^{n_2} gives the 2-cocycle f_{12}. Explicitly, define a section $\mu : \Gamma \to \widetilde{G}$ by $u(x^I) = \sigma^I$ where $\sigma^I = \sigma_1^{a_1} \sigma_2^{a_2}$ if $x^I = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2}$. The extension defines a 2-cocycle by $u(x^I) \cdot u(x^J) = \varepsilon(x^I, x^J) \cdot u(x^{I+J})$. Using the fact that $\sigma_2^{a_2} \sigma_1^{b_1} = [\sigma_1, \sigma_2]^{-a_2 b_1} \sigma_1^{b_1} \sigma_2^{a_2}$, we can verify easily $\varepsilon(x^I, y^J) = f_{12}(x^I, x^J)$ for all I, J. ■

Theorem 5.4 *Let p be a prime number, n be a positive integer. Let $\widetilde{G} = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4 \rangle$ be the Schur covering group of the group $\Gamma \simeq C_{p^n}^4$ defined in Theorem 5.1. Let H be the cyclic subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$ generated by $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2][\sigma_3, \sigma_4]$. Define $G = \widetilde{G}/H$. Then $B_0(G)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. The strategy is similar to that of Theorem 5.2. We use the Hochschild-Serre 5-term exact sequence. As in the proof of Theorem 5.2, define $N = Z(\widetilde{G})/H \subset G$. It is not difficult to show that the following is an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^G \xrightarrow{\psi'} H^2(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\psi} H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

and $H^1(N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^G \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^5$, $H^2(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^6$. Hence the image of ψ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$.

Then we claim that $\psi(H^2(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \subset B_0(G)$, i.e. the composite map $H^2(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\psi} H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is the zero map for all bicyclic subgroups A of G. Since $H^2(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the cohomology classes of f_{ij} (where $1 \le i < j \le 4$) constructed in Lemma 5.3, it suffices to show that the image of each f_{ij} under the composite map becomes a coboundary.

In conclusion, it remains to show that, for $1 \le i < j \le 4$, $\text{res}_G^A \circ \psi(f_{ij})$ is a coboundary for any bicyclic subgroup $A = \langle x, y \rangle \subset G$. Remember that ψ is the inflation map $\text{inf}_{G/N}^G$.

Step 1. Denote by $\bar{\sigma}_1, \bar{\sigma}_2, \bar{\sigma}_3, \bar{\sigma}_4$ the images of $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4 \in G$ in G/N. Write σ^I for the element $\sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_2^{a_2}\sigma_3^{a_3}\sigma_4^{a_4}$ where $I = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ with $0 \le a_i \le p^n - 1$; similarly $\bar{\sigma}^I = \bar{\sigma}_1^{a_1}\bar{\sigma}_2^{a_2}\bar{\sigma}_3^{a_3}\bar{\sigma}_4^{a_4}$.

If $A = \langle x, y \rangle \subset G$ is a bicyclic subgroup, then $x = \sigma^I u_1$, $y = \sigma^J u_2$ for some $I = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$, $J = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$ with $0 \le a_i, b_j \le p^n - 1$, for some $u_1, u_2 \in N$. Note that $xy = yx$ if and only if $[\sigma^I, \sigma^J] = 1$. Expanding the commutator $[\sigma^I, \sigma^J]$ and using the definition of H, we find that $[\sigma^I, \sigma^J] = 1$ if and only if

$$(6) \qquad a_i b_j - a_j b_i \equiv 0 \,(mod\, p^n) \quad \text{for } 0 \le i < j \le 4 \text{ with } (i,j) \ne (1,2), (3,4), \text{ and}$$

$$(7) \qquad a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \equiv a_3 b_4 - a_4 b_3 \,(mod\, p^n).$$

On the other hand, we can evaluate the 2-cocycle $\text{res}_G^A \circ \psi(f_{ij})$ as follows. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $A = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$ is a direct product. Thus every element in A can be written as $x^{c_1}y^{c_2}$ for some non-negative integers c_1, c_2 (unique up to the orders of x and y). If c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 are non-negative integers, then

$$(8) \qquad \text{res}_G^A \circ \psi(f_{ij})(x^{c_1}y^{c_2}, x^{d_1}y^{d_2}) = f_{ij}(\bar{\sigma}^{c_1 I + c_2 J}, \bar{\sigma}^{d_1 I + d_2 J}) = \zeta^{-(c_1 a_j + c_2 b_j)(d_1 a_i + d_2 b_i)}$$

where $\zeta = \zeta_{p^n}$.

Step 2. Define a set $S := \{I = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) : 0 \le a_i \le p^n - 1\}$. Define an order function $\text{ord}(I)$ on S by $\text{ord}(I) = \max\{c \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : p^c \text{ divides } a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ if $I \ne (0,0,0,0)$; if $I = (0,0,0,0)$ we define $\text{ord}(I) = p^n$.

Return to the bicyclic group $A = \langle x, y \rangle$ with $x = \sigma^I u_1$, $y = \sigma^J u_2$ where $I = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ and $J = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$, $u_1, u_2 \in N$.

If $\text{ord}(I) = n = \text{ord}(J)$, then $\text{res}_G^A \circ \psi(f_{ij})(x^{c_1}y^{c_2}, x^{d_1}y^{d_2}) = 1$ for all c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 by (8), i.e. $\text{res}_G^A \circ \psi(f_{ij})$ is a coboundary and we are done.

From now on, we assume that at least one of $\operatorname{ord}(I)$ and $\operatorname{ord}(J)$ is $\le n-1$.

Step 3. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\operatorname{ord}(I) \le \operatorname{ord}(J)$ and $e = \operatorname{ord}(I)$ with $0 \le e \le n-1$. Thus we may assume that $p^e | a_i$ for $1 \le i \le 4$, and $p^{e+1} \nmid a_1$ (the case when $p^{e+1} \nmid a_i$ for other indices i can be treated similarly).

If $e \ge n/2$, then $\operatorname{res}_G^A \circ \psi(f_{ij})(x^{c_1}y^{c_2}, x^{d_1}y^{d_2}) = 1$ again by (8). Thus we may assume that $e < n/2$ from now on.

We may also assume that $b_1 = 0$. Otherwise, replace the generator y by $y^{a_1'}x^{-b_1'}$ where $a_1 = p^e a_1'$ and $b_1 = p^e b_1'$.

By (6), we find that b_3 and b_4 are divisible by p^{n-e}, because $b_1 = 0$. By (7) b_2 is also divisible by p^{n-e}.

By (8) $\operatorname{res}_G^A \circ \psi(f_{ij})(x^{c_1}y^{c_2}, x^{d_1}y^{d_2}) = \zeta^{-c_1 d_1 a_i a_j}$, because $e < n/2$.

Step 4. We will show that the 2-cocycle evaluated at the end of the last step is a 2-coboundary.

Consider the cyclic group $A_1 = \langle x \rangle \subset A \subset G$. Since $H^2(A_1, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ by [Kar, page 37], it follows that $H^2(G/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\psi} H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^2(A_1, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is the zero map. Thus $\operatorname{res}_G^{A_1} \circ \psi(f_{ij})(x^{c_1}, x^{d_1})$ is a coboundary for any non-negative integers c_1, d_1.

On the other hand, we find that $\operatorname{res}_G^{A_1} \circ \psi(f_{ij})(x^{c_1}, x^{d_1}) = \zeta^{-c_1 d_1 a_i a_j}$ also by (8). But this is a 2-coboundary by the previous paragraph. Thus there is a 1-cochain $\alpha : A_1 \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\delta(\alpha)(x^{c_1}, x^{d_1}) = \zeta^{-c_1 d_1 a_i a_j}$ where δ is the differential map from the group of 1-cochains to that of 2-cochains.

Define a 1-cochain $\beta : A \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ by $\beta(x^{c_1}y^{c_2}) = \alpha(x^{c_1})$. It follows that $\delta(\beta)(x^{c_1}y^{c_2}, x^{d_1}y^{d_2}) = \zeta^{-c_1 d_1 a_i a_j}$. Done.

■

Remark. In [CHKK] and [HKKu], it is proved that, if G is a group of order p^5 where p is an odd prime number (resp. a group of order 2^6), then $B_0(G) \ne 0$ if and only if G belongs to the 10-th isoclinism family (resp. G belongs to the 13-rd isoclinism family, i.e. $G = G(2^6, i)$ with $241 \le i \le 245$). For these groups G with $B_0(G) \ne 0$, it can be shown that $B_0(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ if G is of order p^5, and $B_0(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ if G is of order 2^6.

The proof is similar to the proof in [HKKu, Theorem 5.4]. For example, in the case when G is a group of order p^5 where p is an odd prime number, choose a normal subgroup $N = \langle f_1, f_3, f_4, f_5 \rangle$ when G is the group defined in the proof of [HKKu, Theorem 2.3]. Apply the 7-term exact sequence of Hochschild-Serre [DHW; HKKu, Section 5]. Note that $B_0(G)$ is a non-zero subgroup of $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_1$ while $0 \to H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_1 \to H^1(G/N, H^1(N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$ is an exact sequence. It is not difficult to show that $H^1(G/N, H^1(N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $B_0(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

References

[Ba] J. Barge, *Cohomologie des groupes et corps d'invariants multiplicatifs*, Math. Ann. 283 (1989), 519–528.

[Bo] F. A. Bogomolov, *The Brauer group of quotient spaces by linear group actions*, Math. USSR Izv. 30 (1988), 455–485.

[BL] R. Brown and J.-L. Loday, *Van Kampen theorems for diagrams of spaces*, Topology 26 (1987), 311–335.

[Br] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, GTM vol.87, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[CE] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1956.

[CHKK] H. Chu, S.-J. Hu, M. Kang and B. E. Kunyavskii, *Noether's problem and the unramified Brauer group for groups of order 64*, International Math. Research Notices 12 (2010), 2329–2366.

[De] F. R. DeMeyer, *Generic polynomials*, J. Algebra 84 (1983), 441–448.

[DHW] K. Dekimpe, M. Hartl and S. Wauters, *A seven-term exact sequence for the cohomology of a group extension*, arXiv: 1103.4052, to appear in "J. Algebra".

[EM] S. Endo and T. Miyata, *On a classification of the function fields of algebraic tori*, Nagoya Math. J. 56 (1974) 85–104; Corrigenda, ibid. 79 (1980) 187–190.

[HK1] M. Hajja and M. Kang, *Three-dimensional purely monomial group actions*, J. Algebra 170 (1994), 850–860.

[HK2] M. Hajja and M. Kang, *Some actions of symmetric groups*, J. Algebra 177 (1995), 511–535.

[HKK] A. Hoshi, M. Kang and H. Kitayama, *Quasi-monomial actions and some 4-dimensional rationality problem*, arXiv: 1201.1332.

[HKKu] A. Hoshi, M. Kang and B. E. Kunyavskii, *Noether's problem and unramified Brauer groups*, arXiv: 1202.5812, to appear in "Asian J. Math.".

[Is] I. M. Isaacs, *Finite group theory*, GSM. vol.92, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2008.

[Ja] S. Jambor, *Generic extensions and generic polynormials for semidirect products*, J. Algebra 322 (2009), 4040–4052.

[Ka1] M. Kang, *Retract rational fields*, J. Algebra 349 (2012), 22–37.

[Ka2] M. Kang, *Frobenius groups and retract rationality*, arXiv: 1204.1796.

[Kar] G. Karpilovsky, *The Schur multiplier*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987.

[Ku] B. E. Kunyavskii, *The Bogomolov multiplier of finite simple groups*, in "Cohomological and geometric approaches to rationality problems", edited by F. Bogomolov and Y. Tschinkel, Progress in Math. vol. 282, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2010.

[Le] H. W. Lenstra, Jr., *Rational functions invariant under a finite abelian group*, Invent. Math. 25 (1974), 299–325.

[Lo] M. Lorenz, *Multiplicative invariant theory*, Encyclo. Math. Sci. vol. 135, Springer-Verlag, 2005, Berlin.

[Mi] C. Miller, *The second cohomology of a group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 588–595.

[MT] Y. I. Manin and M. A. Tsfasman, *Rational varieties: algebra, geometry and arithmetic*, Russian Math. Survey 41 (1986), 51–116.

[Ri] D. S. Rim, *Modules over finite groups*, Ann. Math. 69 (1959), 700–712.

[Sa1] D. J. Saltman, *Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory*, Advances in Math. 43 (1982), 250–283.

[Sa2] D. J. Saltman, *Noether's problem over an algebraically closed field*, Invent. Math. 77 (1984), 71–84.

[Sa3] D. J. Saltman, *Retract rational fields and cyclic Galois extensions*, Israel J. Math. 47 (1984), 165–215.

[Sa4] D. J. Saltman, *Multiplicative field invariants*, J. Algebra 106 (1987), 221–238.

[Sa5] D. J. Saltman, *Multiplicative field invariants and the Brauer group*, J. Algebra 133 (1990), 533-544.

[Se] J-P. Serre, *Local fields*, GTM vol.67, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.

[Sh] I. R. Shafarevich, *On Lüroth's problem*, Proc Steklov Inst. Math. 183 (1991), 241–246.

[Sw1] R. G. Swan, *Induced representations and projective modules*, Ann. Math. 71 (1960), 552–578.

[Sw2] R. G. Swan, *Invariant rational functions and a problem of Steenrod*, Invent. Math. 7 (1969), 148–158.

[Sw3] R. G. Swan, *Noether's problem in Galois theory*, in "Emmy Noehter in Bryn Mawr", edited by B. Srinivasan and J. Sally, Springer-Verlag, 1983, Berlin.

[Sw4] R. G. Swan, *The flabby class group of a finite cyclic group*, in "Proceedings of The 4th International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians, Hangzhou, 2007", edited by Lizhen Ji, Kefeng Liu, Lo Yang and Shing-Tung Yau, Higher Education Press (Beijing) and International Press (Somerville), 2008.

[Ta] K. Tahara, *On the second cohomology groups of semidirect products*, Math. Z. 129 (1972), 365–379.

[Vo] V. E. Voskresenskii, *Algebraic groups and their birational invariants*, Transl. Math. Monographs vol. 179, Amer. Math. Soc., 1998, Providence.